

## THE DAILY HERALD.

Published Every Day by  
THE HERALD COMPANY.OFFICE, THE HERALD block, corner  
West Temple and First South streets,  
Salt Lake City.TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.  
DAILY, PER MONTH.....\$5 CENTS  
Daily, per month.....\$4.00  
Semi-weekly, per month.....\$3.00  
Semi-weekly, per year.....\$30.00  
Semi-weekly, per year.....\$30.00COMPLAINTS.—Subscribers who fail to  
receive a single copy of THE HERALD  
should immediately notify the publisher.  
Readers who are unable to purchase  
THE HERALD at any news stand or on  
any railroad train in Utah, Idaho, Ne-  
vada, Wyoming or Colorado, will oblige  
us by reporting that fact.WASHINGTON BUREAU.—West End  
National Bank building, 1415 G street,  
N. W.NEW YORK OFFICE.—E. Katz, 230-234  
Temple Court building.CHICAGO OFFICE.—57 Washington  
street.OGDEN BUREAU.—Utah Loan & Trust  
Company building.Address all remittances to HERALD  
COMPANY.Subscribers removing from one place to  
another, and desiring papers changed,  
should always give former as well as  
present address.

## METEOROLOGICAL REPORT.

Yesterday's Record at the Local Office  
of the Weather Bureau.Salt Lake City, Utah, March 10, 1900.  
Maximum temperature, 52 degrees; minimum  
temperature, 41 degrees; mean tem-  
perature, 46 degrees, which is 18 degrees  
above the normal. Accumulated excess of  
precipitation since first of month, 8  
degrees. Accumulated excess of precipi-  
tation since Jan. 1, 37.2 degrees. Total  
precipitation from 9 a. m. to 9 p. m., 0.1 inch.  
Accumulated deficiency of precipitation since  
first of month, 38.1 inch. Accumulated  
deficiency of precipitation since Jan. 1,  
1.25 inches.

## OBJECT LESSON FOR UTAH.

The people of Utah have not far to  
go to discover the blessings that flow  
from a government by the trusts. With  
the smelter trust levying heavy tribute  
upon the mine owners of this state, we have  
an uncomfortable object lesson con-  
stantly before us. An article on the  
mining page of The Herald a few days  
ago contained some figures that should  
be studied by every voter. These fig-  
ures are from expert sources and show  
that, although the average cost of re-  
ducing silver-lead ores in the United  
States during 1898 was but \$2.27 per  
ton, with a further reduction in the  
cost for last year, the treatment charges  
assessed by the trust against  
Utah mines range from \$4.50 to \$11.50  
per ton. And it must be borne in mind  
that a large proportion of the Utah  
ores are of that character most cheaply  
smelted, and the cost of their reduction  
is much below the average of \$2.27  
per ton. Since the smelter trust gained  
a monopoly of the smelting industry  
in Utah one year ago, the charges for  
reducing ores have been advanced all  
the way from \$3 to \$5 per ton. In other  
words, a single one of Mr. McKinley's  
trusts is squeezing the mine owners of  
Utah to the extent of \$3 to \$5 for every  
ton of ore sent to the smelter.Surely the ore producers of this state  
should be the very last to endorse a  
trust-owned, trust-breeding adminis-  
tration at Washington, should be the  
very last to vote for a candidate who  
not only stands for trust rule, but con-  
tends that there is "much good" in the  
trusts. The mine owners should surely  
be the very first to give support to any  
party that promises relief, that stands  
for the interests of the people, as  
against the trusts, and proposes effective  
remedies for the evil.The Democratic party proposes three  
methods for dealing with the trusts,  
each of which would check the rapacity  
of these monopolies, and the applica-  
tion of all three remedies would doubtless  
prevent entirely the existence  
of unlawful combines. These are the  
plans proposed:First—Enforcement of the present  
federal law against combinations in re-  
straint of trade.Second—Removal of the protective  
tariff from all trust products.Third—A system of federal license  
and control of interstate corporations.This last plan, probably the most  
feasible and effective yet suggested, is  
the one proposed by Mr. Bryan at the  
anti-trust conference, and has received  
the endorsement of many leaders in  
the anti-trust movement.Subsidized trust organs seek to be-  
litttle the anti-trust issue and new-  
papers that have been purchased by Mark  
Hanna, who declares that the trust is  
a public benefactor, point to the fact  
that the anti-trust law was not enforced  
by Cleveland as proof that the Demo-  
crats are insincere. The law was not  
enforced by Mr. Cleveland for the well-  
known reason that he, although not  
owned, body and soul by the trusts,  
as McKinley is owned today, was yet  
extremely susceptible to the trust in-  
fluence. His attorney general was a  
trust attorney, although not so noto-  
rious a defender of monopolies as the  
man selected for this place by Mr. McKin-  
ley.It was because of Mr. Cleveland's  
sympathy for the trusts and his be-  
trayal of Democratic principles that he  
and his following were cast out of the  
party by the Chicago convention in  
1896, and it is most notorious and sig-  
nificant that they have since become  
active allies of the McKinley party in  
defense of the trusts and the gold  
standard. Therefore those who rail  
against Clevelandism and embrace McKin-  
leyism are ignorant or dishonest,  
for the policies of Cleveland, with refer-  
ence to trusts and the gold standard,  
are the policies of McKinley.Mr. Cleveland's attorney general, in  
the absence of a decision from the su-  
preme court upon the constitutionality  
of the anti-trust law, set up his own  
opinion, holding that the law was in-  
valid, and this was his excuse for his  
failure to enforce it. Since then, how-  
ever, the law has been sustained by  
the highest court in the land, which  
has clearly pointed out the way for  
prosecution of unlawful combinations.  
Hence, there is no shadow of excuse  
for the present administration's re-  
fusal to enforce the law. Of course no  
excuse is sought or needed, since it is  
a matter of common knowledge that  
the trusts are absolute owners of the  
administration.Were Mr. Bryan president of the United  
States, does any one imagine that he  
would select an Olney or a Griggs  
for his attorney general, or that he  
would permit the robbery of the people  
by unlawful combinations?requests. There are doubtless some  
people who would also like to know  
by what process of reasoning the Ham-  
mond organ concludes that Judge King  
should be rejected because of his en-  
dorsement of that resolution, but that  
Mr. Hammond who also endorses the  
resolution, should be elected.

## REPUBLICAN DISHONESTY.

We believe that the people of Utah  
will see something more in the election  
of a member of congress than a purely  
local issue that was buried several  
years ago and would never have been  
resurrected except that it could be used  
by the Republican organ of this state  
as an instrument of malevolence and  
spite. But for all that, the voters of  
Utah, without reference to political or  
religious belief, should not lose sight  
of the methods pursued by Mr. Ham-  
mond's newspaper and by Mr. Ham-  
mond himself. No people ever before  
had spread before them such a rare  
exhibition of political hypocrisy and  
dishonesty, and there are lessons to be  
drawn from the situation.The Democratic state convention ex-  
pressed its faith in the people and de-  
nied the charge that the citizens of the  
whole state were a community of  
pledge-breakers; furthermore, it took  
the position that when a member-elect  
presented himself at the door of con-  
gress with regular credentials and a  
prima facie right to seat, the constitu-  
tion made it a duty of congress to  
administer the oath to that member,  
and so believing the Democratic con-  
vention deplored that the oath was not  
administered to Mr. Roberts. There  
were eighty-five members of congress,  
including Mr. Littlefield of Maine, who  
took precisely the same position and  
also deplored the unconstitutional  
methods employed.It is known that Mr. Hammond, the  
Republican candidate, privately en-  
dorses every word of that Democratic  
resolution and that he desired the Re-  
publican convention to make a similar  
declaration, although he now lacks the  
manhood and courage to publicly ex-  
press faith in the integrity of the peo-  
ple whose votes he solicits, or to give  
an open expression of his views upon  
the sole issue raised in his behalf.  
Moreover, the Republican candidate  
stands upon a platform that gives full  
endorsement to the elevation of noto-  
rious polygamists to high federal office  
and to their retention in office.Yet, under these conditions, there is  
in this city a newspaper that dishonestly  
falsifies the record of the Democratic  
convention, that lies about the position  
of the Democratic candidate, and day  
after day solemnly declares that the  
election of Mr. King would grossly of-  
fend the nation, while the election of  
Mr. Hammond would offend the na-  
tion not at all. And it is  
Mr. Hammond's organ that is engaged  
in this dishonest work. What do the  
decent people of this city think of such  
a candidate and such an organ and  
such a cause? What do the people of  
the entire state think of a candidate  
for such exalted office who is too cow-  
ardly to say that he has faith in the  
honesty of the people whose support he  
solicits?Is there a man or woman in the  
state, no matter how strongly opposed  
to the practice of polygamy, who can  
see in the election of Mr. King any  
endorsement of that practice, or in the  
election of Mr. Hammond any repudia-  
tion of polygamy?Is it not clear to the dullest com-  
prehension that the purpose of these dis-  
honest Hanna schemers is, by raising  
a false cry, to trick the people of Utah  
into an endorsement of all the rascality  
and scandals and infamies that have  
run riot at the national capital during  
the reign of William the Weak, and  
and to give the best men of the  
Republican party?

## PROTECT THE CLIFF RUINS.

Representative Shaforth of Colorado  
has introduced into the national con-  
gress a bill to authorize and provide for  
the setting apart of the lands in Utah,  
Colorado, Arizona and New Mexico  
containing prehistoric ruins. The ob-  
ject of the measure is to preserve these  
important antiquities from vandalism.  
It is a worthy object, and the bill  
should become a law. The United  
States owes a duty to the future in this  
matter. There has been too much de-  
lay already in the discharge of that  
duty. Every locality in which the ruins  
of an ancient civilization, the relics  
of this unknown race, are found in con-  
siderable numbers should be set apart  
as a reservation. There is a vast area  
rich in relics, hieroglyphics and evi-  
dences of ancient industry which might  
be included in one park. It embraces  
the southwest quarter section of Colo-  
rado, at least four counties in south-  
eastern Utah and a large part of New  
Mexico and Arizona. This may be so  
extensive that the policing of it would  
be expensive and impracticable. If so,  
there are canyons to which the surveil-  
lance of the patrol could be confined.The Mancos canyon is one of the most  
interesting. It has branch canyons—the  
Navajo, Acowitz, Bear, Moccasin  
as many others—in which cliff dwell-  
ings abound. In this one locality men  
have spent six months at a time ex-  
ploring, finding something new every  
day, but unable to gain access to many  
of the curious houses.The most accessible of all the cliff  
dwellings are found in New Mexico,  
Near Espanola is quite a city, but van-  
dals have destroyed its value to a  
great extent.  
Arizona has more cliff cities, scattered  
over the northern tier of counties,  
than any other commonwealth can show.  
But southeastern Utah has more un-  
explored, inaccessible, unjaded cliff  
ruins and relics than all the others put  
together. Grand gulch abounds in  
mummies, stone weapons, pottery, fiber  
cloth, domestic utensils of every size  
and description, and scores of articles  
made and used by the lost race.Mr. Shaforth's bill is timely and  
should become a law. These people have  
long since departed, leaving no descend-  
ants. The ruins and relics tell us all  
we know of them. Let the record be  
preserved. The belief is gaining ground  
with scientists that humanity ex-  
isted on this continent earlier than that  
of our early people.  
Substantial masonry, graded roads  
and extensive irrigation systems havebeen discovered, grain has been found  
in the storehouses, and calendars not  
unlike the ancient calendars used in  
Tartary and Thibet.There is no subject more worthy of  
investigation than the origin and the  
story of the cliff dwellers. No subject  
will more fully repay careful search  
and patient investigation. It is a study  
which future generations should have  
an opportunity to pursue. But the van-  
dal is abroad in the land and these an-  
cient abodes must be protected.Romance, promise among the silent  
ruins curious and expectant. History  
is mute when the mysteries are men-  
tioned, and makes no sign which indi-  
cates acquaintance with the pioneers of  
our continent. It is a problem which  
ethnologists may in time unravel, but  
no key to its solution has yet been  
found. In the meantime let the arch-  
aeologist revel among these treasures,  
which should be preserved and protect-  
ed by the government as a legacy from  
ancient and vanished owners of this  
land.

## ASK THE FARMERS OF UTAH.

The best way to find out how this  
prosperity is helping the farmers and  
miners of Utah is to ask them. Quota-  
tions from the learned essays of spec-  
tacular editors and authors are inter-  
esting and well enough.But what will the miner say of his  
position under this goldbug, trust-  
fostering administration.  
He will say that the smelter trust  
has doubled its charges on the handling  
of his ore while the goldbug policy of  
the party in power has destroyed half  
the wealth of his mines.What will the farmer say? He will  
show that he never received a lower  
price for his wheat than he does today  
while every thing he needs for the sup-  
port of his family and the carrying on  
of his business costs him from 10 to 125  
per cent more than it did a couple of  
years ago.He goes to a hardware store and  
finds that nails have been raised by the  
trust from \$1.40 per hundred to \$3.20  
per hundred pounds. It is the same  
with barbed wire, with binding twine,  
with sacks and with every trust con-  
trolled article the farmer has to have.  
In his household expenses he finds it  
the same. In dry goods there is an  
advance of from 20 to 40 per cent. Coffee  
has raised 20 per cent, flour has raised,  
and still his wheat is at the lowest  
it ever reached since he has been  
farming. Shoes are going up, canned  
goods have advanced about 25 per cent,  
gloves cost more, while wheat and farm  
produce goes begging for buyers at  
any price.It takes from \$10 to \$15 more to buy  
a farm wagon than it did two years  
ago. On all spring work in agricultural  
implements the advance is from 45 to  
44 per cent. Mowing machines cost  
the farmer 18 per cent more than they  
have for several years. Plows are from  
\$3 to \$10 higher now than they were  
last year. Ask any implement dealer,  
any dry goods merchant in this city or  
in any city or town in the state and he  
will furnish this information. If he is  
a politician he may attempt to explain  
it, but the facts are what the farmers  
want.They have only to ask what they can  
get for their wheat and then to price  
the goods they want in exchange and  
all the claims, theories and pretensions  
of the trust party and its candidates  
stand exposed.

## SO HAVE REPUBLICANS.

We read every day in Democratic  
newspapers that the Republican tariff is  
a shameful thing, shameful as it gives  
to the iron and steel trust a bounty,  
though they are able to export their prod-  
uct in immense quantities, and are mak-  
ing 100 per cent on their capital annu-  
ally.—Tribune.We have read in Republican papers  
that the present Republican tariff is  
"a travesty on true protection"; that  
"all the underlying principles of a real  
protective tariff had to be violated in  
the struggle to obtain a certain amount  
of revenue from an impoverished peo-  
ple."It hasn't been very long since our  
morning contemporary made those  
statements. Nor has it been more than  
two or three years since a senator  
elected by a Republican legislature of  
Utah—and our Republican friends are  
having a great deal to say about legisla-  
tors—these days—standing on the  
floor of the United States senate and  
denounced the Dingley bill as a  
scheme of robbery concocted by the  
trusts and corporations behind the ad-  
ministration. (See Congressional Rec-  
ord, July 7, 1897.)He said at another time that, while  
he had taught Republican protection  
to the people of Utah he soon discov-  
ered and became thoroughly convinced  
when the present tariff schedule was  
adopted that it was a system by which  
to rob the farmer and extort from the  
American consumer. (See Congress-  
ional Record, May 25, 1897.)The Republican organ wants to  
know what Democratic speakers will  
say to the people of Sanpete on the  
present tariff law. They might take  
Sanpete into their confidence, as the  
Tribune took the Provo Enquirer a  
couple of years ago when the latter  
was raising this tariff law.It does not help Utah especially, and  
we will tell the Enquirer a secret. The  
men in the east (Republicans) who are  
shouting tariff do not propose whatever  
changes there may be in the tariff, to  
make it of any special benefit to the men  
of the west.Was it absolutely necessary for the  
"widely known editor" to swallow  
Dingleyism when he suddenly discov-  
ered the corpse of silver in Mark Han-  
na's Washington quarters a few  
months ago? Is it all a part of the  
play?

## SILVER REPUBLICANS.

There is no doubt as to where all  
silver Republicans stand in the present  
campaign. Senator Frank J. Cannon  
has declared that the Republican party  
has made "a new league with death  
and a covenant with hell." Senator Tel-  
ler has stated that no true bimetallic  
can give support to the Republican  
party. These are the sentiments of all  
honest silver Republicans who left  
their party when it was sold and deliv-  
ered to the money trust in 1896, and  
who have refused to be cajoled or mis-  
led by those subsidized editors and  
spoil-seeking politicians that have  
gone back to Hannaniah during the past  
few months.The Utah State Journal of Ogden,  
which is the organ of the silver Re-  
publican party of this state, defines the  
position of that party as follows:  
"What will the silver Republicans of  
Utah do in the present campaign? We  
have been asked this question by more  
than one Democrat. Our reply is the an-  
swer of Senator Teller: 'No silver Republi-can, no honest advocate of independent  
bimetalism who has the least conception  
of the principle can vote with the Re-  
publican party in this direction. The per-  
sonality of the candidates of the Demo-  
cratic and Republican parties cuts no  
figure whatever. The Democratic candi-  
date, Mr. King, is running on a platform  
which all silver Republicans can heartily  
subscribed to. While the Republican  
candidate, Mr. Hammond, stands upon a platform which, by indors-  
ing the present tariff legislation, declares  
for the gold standard and the fastening  
upon this country permanently. That is  
the issue. The honest silver Republi-  
can who can support the Republican can-  
didate is an honest bimetallic; the silver  
Republican who is not a bimetallic is  
not a bimetallic."The verdict in the Benbrook case  
was no surprise. Many had predicted  
a disagreement in the jury room, but no  
one who followed the evidence thought  
there would be a conviction. The fact  
that Morris had threatened to kill Ben-  
brook had assented him and followed him  
up, gave to the killing an appearance  
of self-defense that the prosecution  
was unable to dispell. It was a  
deplorable affair, in which one man  
lost his life and the life of another was  
put in jeopardy; and, while frequent  
acquittals in cases of homicide are not  
conducive to the moral tone and recti-  
tude of a community, there are cases  
where no other course is open to a  
jury which discards prejudice and con-  
fines itself to the evidence admitted at  
the trial.Mr. Hammond's speeches indicate  
that he thinks the Democrats are wor-  
ried over the alleged platform adopted  
by the Republican convention. Mr.  
Hammond is quite mistaken. There is  
not a trace of Democratic worry over  
that "platform." If a platform that ex-  
presses nothing except a blanket en-  
dorsement of the gold standard, gov-  
ernment by the trusts and all the Mc-  
Kinley rascalities discovered by Mr.  
Hammond's organ—if such a platform  
satisfies the federal office-holder that  
built it, there is no reason on earth  
why a Democrat should find fault.How do the farmers of Utah enjoy  
the 100 per cent raise on all the trust-  
made goods they consume, with no  
corresponding advance in the price of  
their products? How do they like the  
squeeze given them by McKinley's  
trusts? Isn't this McKinley prosper-  
ity the greatest thing on earth?The local Republican organ wants to  
know what kind of receptions were  
given Colonel Bryan and Senator  
White when they visited Utah. Rous-  
ing receptions. Both gentlemen were  
pleased and said so. But what has that  
to do with the ex-champion's desertion  
of the silver cause?According to the Hanna organ there  
is nothing involved in this campaign  
except a couple of appropriations that  
have already been placed in the appro-  
priation bills and will be passed before  
the Utah congressmen take their seats.  
Then what is the Hanna editor so mad  
about?When Mr. Hammond next goes before  
an audience we hope that audience will  
bear in mind the fact that this man  
who comes asking them for their votes  
has not sufficient courage to tell them  
whether he believes they are honest  
men and women or a lot of pledge-  
breakers.Mr. Hammond has been smoked out  
on the currency question and forced to  
acknowledge that he endorses the sen-  
ate gold standard bill. Now let some  
one smoke him out on the great issue  
raised by his organ—the Democratic  
resolution on the Roberts case.It is strongly suspected that when  
Editor Graham of the Provo Enquirer  
urges that the people of Utah get  
"in line with the administration" he  
has in mind that particular act of the  
administration that gave the Provo  
postoffice to a polygamist.Are the people of Utah ready to en-  
dorse a British financial system, a  
British colonial system and a British  
imperialism that repudiates the De-  
claration of Independence? If so, they  
should endorse all these things by vot-  
ing for Mr. Hammond.George A. Smith says he is a Re-  
publican because Lincoln freed the  
slaves. He is also an imperialist, doubt-  
less, because McKinley raised the  
American flag over the slave pens of  
the Sulus and guarantees protection  
to the institution.Mr. Hammond has been misled. The  
"nothing" of a Republican platform  
doesn't worry Democrats at all. They  
are merely astounded to observe a  
congressional candidate so light that  
he can stand on "nothing."And so Mr. Hammond's party organ  
says that his nomination did not  
awaken much enthusiasm because his  
conduct as a public officer had not  
made him a popular idol. Too bad!The Ogden Standard thinks Judge  
King is an outspoken man. We don't  
recall any such thing anybody has  
ever said about him—certainly Ham-  
mond is out of the race.The Philippine insurrection is report-  
ed to be taking on new life. Is it pos-  
sible in spite of all the efforts of Post-  
master Thomas to suppress it?Careful assays of the auriferous  
stories which come from Cape Nome  
show that they run about 3 per cent  
truth and 97 per cent fiction.

## SNUBBING THE WEST.

(Denver Republican.)  
The action of the house of representa-  
tives at Washington in refusing to allow  
the silver bill to pass is a snub to the  
people of the west, and a snub to the  
states to be read, was a snub to the  
white people of the west, and a snub to  
the people of these states should indignantly  
resent. But like many another  
act of extreme hostility it will serve as  
a grant of the aid lands prominently  
to the west.It is inevitable that some change from  
the present policy must come. Competi-  
tion among the states, which the con-  
gressmen from these states should indig-  
nantly resent. But like many another  
act of extreme hostility it will serve as  
a grant of the aid lands prominently  
to the west.  
The important object to be accom-  
plished is to apportion the pasture lands among  
the small farmers, who are producing crops  
by irrigation along the streams.  
The action of the house of representa-  
tives at Washington in refusing to allow  
the silver bill to pass is a snub to the  
people of the west, and a snub to the  
states to be read, was a snub to the  
white people of the west, and a snub to  
the people of these states should indignantly  
resent. But like many another  
act of extreme hostility it will serve as  
a grant of the aid lands prominently  
to the west.TIMOTHY DOOLAN OF CACHE  
ON THE ORIGIN OF A STORM"Do you want to know the reason for  
the Republicans naming Hammond?"  
queried Mr. Doolan of Cache."Way, the reason's as plain as me-fine  
Hammond's face; he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going away  
th' way he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's  
no danger of Jimmie going away th' way  
he's a safe, dead safe fellow; he's no danger  
of Jimmie going away th' way he's a safe,  
dead safe fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie  
going away th' way he's a safe, dead safe  
fellow; he's no danger of Jimmie going